U.S. Court Rejects Mandatory Chapel At Service Schools

July 1, 1972



See the article in its original context from July 1, 1972, Page 8 Buy Reprints

New York Times subscribers* enjoy full access to TimesMachine—view over 150 years of New York Times journalism, as it originally appeared.

SUBSCRIBE

*Does not include Crossword-only or Cooking-only subscribers.

About the Archive

This is a digitized version of an article from The Times's print archive, before the start of online publication in 1996. To preserve these articles as they originally appeared, The Times does not alter, edit or update them.

Occasionally the digitization process introduces transcription errors or other problems; we are continuing to work to improve these archived versions.

WASHINGTON, June 30 (UPI) —The United States Court of Appeals for the District of Co lumbia ruled today, 2 to 1, that compulsory chapel attendance at the United States military academies was an unconstitutional violation of the First Amendment's guarantee of free dom of religion.

The ruling reversed a deci sion by Federai District Judge Howard F. Corcoran on July 31, 1970, that the attendance re quirement for cadets and mid shipmen at the three Federal military academies was constitutional.

The appeals court ruling came in a lawsuit filed in Janu ary, 1970, as a class action on behalf of all those attending West Point, the Naval Academy and the United States Air Force Academy.

Regulations at all three institutions require attendance at either Protestant, Roman Catholic or Jewish services on Sun days.

Chief Judge **David L. Bazelon** and Judge Harold Leventhal of the appeals court concurred in the opinion upsetting the re quirement.

Dissenting was Judge George E. McKinnon, who said, "The importance of the attendance regulations to the academies' training programs is of the highest order to my mind."

In his decision, Judge Bazelon said that Defense Department officials and heads of the mili tary academies "have made no showing that chapel attendance requirements are the best or the only means to import to officers some familiarity with religion and its effects on our soldiers."

"This case does not involve programs vital to our immedi ate national security or even to military operational or disci plinary procedures," he said.